

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

T/VI/M-15  
13 September 1965

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

COMMITTEE ON DOCUMENTATION

TASK TEAM VI - RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Minutes of the Fifteenth Meeting, 31 August 1965

Members or Their Representatives Present

25X1A  
DEFENSE - Dr. Ruth M. Davis, Chairman  
DIA - Dr.   
NSA - Mr.   
- Mr. Raymund V. Nolan (consultant)  
AIR FORCE - Lt. Col. Waldo Bertoni  
NAVY - Mr. Richard L. Bragan  
ARMY - Mr. Milton A. Lipton

Others Present

Dr. William Barker, NSF

1. In the temporary absence of the Team's official Secretary, the Chairman requested Mr. Bragan to make the necessary notes of the meeting.

2. The Chairman opened the meeting with a discussion of the meaning of the DoD funding statistics as broken down by the 24 application areas approved by the Task Team in December of 1964. On the basis of comparison of funding against each application area, the Chairman had arrived at certain tentative conclusions and recommendations. Primarily it was found that the largest IDH R&D expenditures were in the R&D areas where it had been decided the Intelligence Community should be essentially self-sufficient, the exception being in the area of warning and indications (Predictive Calculations).

3. The Chairman made several assignments to Team Members in this connection:

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

Group 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

- 2 -

25X1

a. [ ] NSA, was requested to look into the types of systems management involved to determine what management type activities are covered and to describe reasons for any limitation.

b. Lt. Col. Waldo Bertoni was requested to produce a paper explaining why mapping and charting is one of the areas in which the USIB community should be self-sufficient.

25X1A

c. Mr. Milton A. Lipton of the Army and [ ] of DIA were requested to write papers concerning the importance of warning and indications as opposed to the low funding for that area.

d. Mr. Ray Nolan was requested to write a paragraph on the method of approach utilized in collecting the DoD financial data and on the validity of the data collected.

The Chairman continued with an explanation of her resultant recommendations and conclusions which were presented under 4 principle breakdowns:

<u>1. Conceptual/Philosophical</u>		<u>Recommendations (R)</u>
<u>Conclusions (C)</u>		
3		4
<u>2. Managerial</u>		
<u>C</u>		<u>R</u>
2		5
<u>3. Technical</u>		
<u>C</u>		<u>R</u>
2		3
<u>4. Of Immediate Urgency</u>		

(To be added next meeting)

4. The Chairman then requested Dr. Barker of the National Science Foundation to look into the question of standard criteria utilized in the government relative to the management of R&D funds by individuals, i.e., how much money is considered to be manageable by one individual.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L


- 3 -

5. The Chairman then requested that all members attempt to come up with additional technical recommendations and conclusions by the next meeting as well as any additional recommendations and conclusions of Immediate Urgency that they would like to be included.

6. The Chairman requested Mr. Bragan to assist her in writing up papers already on hand.

7. The next meeting will be held at the CIA Building next Thursday, 9 September 1965, at 1330.

25X1A

  
for Richard L. Bragan  
Acting Secretary

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L